

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
1 November 2001 (01.11.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/81666 A2**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **D01F 11/00**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US01/13071**

(22) International Filing Date: **23 April 2001 (23.04.2001)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
60/199,344 24 April 2000 (24.04.2000) US  
Not furnished 19 April 2001 (19.04.2001) US

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except US*): **WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY [US/US]**; P.O. Box 9777, Federal Way, WA 98063-9777 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **JEWELL, Richard, A.** [—/US]; 5215 146th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, WA 98006 (US). **REIMER, Julie, A.** [—/US]; 7026 Jones Avenue N.W., Seattle, WA 98117 (US).

(74) Agents: **LEUZZI, Paul, W.** et al.; Weyerhaeuser Company, Patent Department, P.O. Box 9777, Federal Way, WA 98063-9777 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

WO 01/81666 A2

(54) Title: METHOD FOR PRODUCING CELLULOSE FIBER HAVING IMPROVED BIOSTABILITY AND THE RESULTING PRODUCTS

(57) Abstract: A cellulose fiber having extended biostability and the method of its manufacture are described. While prior treatments of cellulose with biotoxic metal compounds have given improved resistance to decay, these treatments have not been entirely satisfactory where the fiber had to be refined before use. Refining energy was very high and fiber length loss was substantial. Treatment of cellulose fiber with didecyldimethylammonium chloride (DDAC) or bromide (DDAB), these materials in combination with low levels of copper, or low levels of copper alone, has given a product with very good biostability without a major increase in refining energy or loss of fiber length. The treated fiber is particularly advantageous as a reinforcing component for cement board products.

## METHOD FOR PRODUCING CELLULOSE FIBER HAVING IMPROVED BIOSTABILITY AND THE RESULTING PRODUCTS

5           The present invention is directed to a cellulose fiber having excellent resistance to environmental degradation, and to its method of preparation.

### Background of the Invention

Fiber reinforced cement board products used as building materials have  
10   been in service since the second decade of the 1900s. Portland cement serves as a matrix or binder for wood particles or strands. In turn, the particles significantly reduce density and contribute strength, particularly tensile strength, to the product. Earlier products were made using wood excelsior as a reinforcing material. Later, asbestos  
15   fiber was widely used as a reinforcing fiber. The fiber is intimately mixed into a Portland cement-water slurry so that it is evenly coated. This mixture is predominantly formed into flat panels where the cement is allowed to cure before use. Alternatively, three dimensional products such as corrugated panels, roof tiles, and pipes can be made. Panels can be made with varying densities. Low density products find interior applications as sound absorbent products for walls and ceilings. Higher density panels are used  
20   as flooring, siding, sheathing, and concrete forms. For many years asbestos reinforced simulated shingles were widely used as siding for home construction. This application largely disappeared after the health problems associated with asbestos were uncovered. Today, cement board reinforced with cellulose fiber has made a significant comeback as a home siding product. In this application it simulates horizontal or vertical wood siding.  
25   Although the product requires special saws, it can otherwise be conventionally handled and nailed. Cement board siding is accepted as an attractive durable, dimensionally stable, low maintenance product resistant to moisture, decay, and insects.

Unbleached kraft pulps are predominantly used as the fiber source for cement board siding. Soroushian et al., in *Inorganic-Bonded Wood and Fiber Composite Materials*, A.A. Moslemi ed., 3: 9-19 Forest Products Society (1993) (hereafter *IBWFCM*), generally describe the process of manufacture and properties of the resulting products. Similarly, Soroushian et al., in *IBWFCM*, 5: 3-7 (1997), describe a process for accelerated curing of the products by autoclaving in high pressure steam. Detailed layouts of plants for fiber reinforced cement board production are given by K.  
35   Buchmayer, *IBWFCM* 6: 99-140 (1998), and G. Agansky, *IBWFCM* 6: 141-146, (1998). Briefly a slurry of the cellulose fibers is formed. Separately a slurry of cement, silica,

filler, and other additives is prepared. These are mixed and formed into sheets or panels, usually on an endless wire screen, where they are then dewatered. The dewatered panels are trimmed, pressed, and stacked. They are then autoclaved to accelerate hydration of the cement and induce at least sufficient strength so that the panels can be handled without breakage. Post curing and finishing are usually additional manufacturing steps before the panels are shipped.

Today, the Hatschek wet process is the most widely used production method. An aqueous slurry of fiber and cement with about 7-10% solids is formed into sheets on several rotating cylinders. Several thin layers are superposed until a panel of the desired thickness is formed. This is dewatered and cured as described above (see *Concrete Technology and Design: Natural Fibre Reinforced Cement and Concrete*, R. N. Swamy, ed., Vol. 5, pp 23-25, Blackie, London). Typically about 10-30% by weight of the composite material will be refined cellulose fibers with the balance being inorganic mineral components.

The manufacturing environment for cement bonded panels is very highly alkaline. As was noted, unbleached kraft fiber is frequently used as reinforcement. Two problems have been attributed to use of kraft fiber, one during manufacturing and one during use. The first is due to alkaline leaching of materials not removed from the fiber in the pulping process. These materials are generally degraded lignin and carbohydrate residues. When present in excessive amounts they interfere with the curing process and can deleteriously affect strength of the finished product. Under some use conditions the fiber is subject to biological attack also resulting in weakening the product.

The present inventors are aware that some previous consideration has been given to control biological degradation of cellulosic reinforcement in cement board products. They would note that chromated copper arsenate (CCA) treated wood particles have been used. This use has not been with any intention of making biologically durable products but as a way of disposing of scrap or out-of-service CCA treated wood which is not suitable for use as fuel (see Hsu *IBFWCM* 4: 3-5 (1995), and P. A. Cooper et al. *IBFWCM* 6: 340-348 (1998)). The authors concluded that CCA treated red pine was useable when comminuted into particles and that the product could be made so that leaching of the toxic materials was minor. Goodell et al., in *Forest Products Journal* 47(11/12): 75-80 (1997), explored subsoil decay resistance of three wood-cement composite materials. They concluded that only wood particles in the surface regions would likely be subject to fungal attack. Japanese Patent Application 4333611 describes a cross linked acrylic fiber which may be made from monomers that include multivalent

metal acrylates. When the multivalent metals in the fiber are copper or zinc the fibers have antibacterial properties. There was no suggested use of the fiber as a cement board reinforcement. Japanese Patent 11-181619 describes a polypropylene fiber useful in cement boards. The fiber is resistant to autoclaving at temperatures as high as 170°-  
5 180°C. The fiber is melt spun with a zinc containing nucleating agent, said to contribute antimicrobial properties. Japanese Patent 3132552 describes a cement board fiber containing 3-40% wood fiber having high durability. The fiber is impregnated or coated with a metal compound selected from copper, zinc, aluminum or lead chloride or sulfate. Japanese Patent Application 288149/87 describes wood reinforced cement boards in  
10 which a salt of iron, copper, lead, zinc, or aluminum is added to the mixing water. The salt is said to react with components leached from the wood chips and to prevent hardening retardation caused by the leachates. No mention was made of improvement in resistance to biological degradation.

Canadian Patent 1,134,564 describes cellulose fibers which are treated  
15 for fungal resistance with metal oxide acylates in which the metal is selected from aluminum, titanium, copper, zinc, antimony, chromium, iron, manganese, or zirconium. Alternatively, other organic and inorganic metal compounds of copper, mercury, chromium, tin, and zinc were said to be useful. The treated fibers are suggested for use as an asbestos substitute in cement products, brake linings, gaskets, etc.

20 A significant problem with cellulose fibers treated with heavy metal biocides is that they require a high energy input and are subject to considerable degradation during the refining process required for the manufacture of cement board products. The present invention has addressed and presents a solution to this problem.

## 25 **Summary of the Invention**

The invention is directed to a fibrous cellulose product resistant to biological degradation, and to the method of making the product. It has been unexpectedly discovered that cellulose fiber treated with a compound selected from didecyldimethylammonium chloride (DDAC) or bromide (DDAB), DDAC or DDAB combined  
30 with small amounts of copper, or very low levels of copper alone, offers excellent protection against biological deterioration when used as reinforcement in cement board products. The fiber does not require significantly increased energy input levels or have serious fiber length degradation during refining. The amount of the copper compound included is below that at which significant interference with refining occurs.

35 DDAC and DDAB are useful in the range of 0.1% - 2%, based on the dry weight of fiber present with 0.5% - 1.0% being the preferred usage. Copper, as Cu

based on weight of dry fiber, may be used in the range of about 0.01% - 0.25% either alone or in combination with DDAC or DDAB. This may be added as any water soluble copper salt. The copper becomes permanently fixed on/in the fiber after exposure to the highly alkaline conditions encountered after mixing with Portland cement.

5                   While an unbleached kraft fiber is a preferred raw material because of its strength and cost, other chemically pulped cellulose fibers are known to be equally suitable. These include bleached kraft pulps, and bleached and unbleached sulfite and semichemical pulps, such as chemithermomechanical pulps. When used as a reinforcement for cement board products there is little incentive to use the more expensive  
10   bleached pulps even though their technical performance is equivalent to the unbleached fibers.

                  The term "cement board products" should be read with sufficient breadth to include flat panels or strips, corrugated panels, and cellulose fiber reinforced cement pipe. These products include those used for siding, roofing and tile backer  
15   among many others.

                  It is an object of the invention to provide a cellulose fiber having improved biostability that may be refined without significantly increased energy input.

                  It is a further object to provide a biostable cellulose fiber that may be refined without significant fiber length loss or fines generation.

20                   It is another object to provide a biostable cellulose fiber particularly suitable for the manufacture of cement board products.

                  These and many other objects will become readily apparent upon reading the following detailed description of the invention.

## 25   **Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

                  Samples for testing were prepared by obtaining unbleached mixed conifer kraft pulp sheets produced in an interior British Columbia mill. The sheets were essentially unrefined and had a basis weight of about 900 g/m<sup>2</sup>. Solutions of various biocides were made up so that the desired ultimate concentration of active material  
30   would be obtained by spraying each sample portion of pulp sheet with about 1 g of the biocidal solution per gram of pulp. The treated sheets were then air dried to fix the active ingredient. Subsequently the treated sheets were reslurried in water having a pH ~12 obtained from a cement board manufacturing facility and refined to a Canadian Standard Freeness (CSF) level of 450 mL. All refining was done in a pilot scale Model  
35   202 Claflin refiner (available from Bolton-Emerson, Inc., Lawrence, Massachusetts).

The treated and refined pulp was dewatered by centrifuging to a consistency of about 30% and then pin fluffed to ensure uniformity.

Treatments included copper sulfate at concentrations of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5% Cu w/w based on dry pulp; zinc sulfate at concentrations of 0.1%, 0.3%, and 0.6% Zn; 0.2% propiconazole emulsion; didecyldimethylammonium chloride (DDAC) 0.2%, 0.5%; and 1.0%, and 1.0% DDAB. Treated fibers were also made using 1.0% DDAC with 0.05%, 0.10%, and 0.2% Cu added as copper sulfate. All concentrations are on a weight/weight basis.

10

### Example 1

#### Examination of Samples for Biodurability

Samples of 30 g of the treated pulps were reslurried in water and formed into sheets in an 8 X 8 inch (203 X 203 mm) sheet. The sheets were pressed to remove excess moisture then air dried. Each sheet was then cut in half and dipped for 15 30 seconds in a slurry of one part Portland cement in three parts by weight water. The coated and impregnated sheets were removed and drained, allowed to cure for two days wet, and then air dried. Each 4 X 8 inch (151 X 203 mm) cement treated sheet was then enclosed in a 20 mesh stainless steel screen and half buried in the ground at a test site at Hilo, Hawaii. Samples were then removed after three month, six month, nine month, 20 and twelve month periods for examination. Exposed sheets were assigned a subjective rating for deterioration based on visual observation (3 = sound and 0 = complete deterioration). The exposed sheets were also examined microscopically to determine the presence of fungal mycelium and cell wall deterioration. Results of these tests are seen in Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4.

25

Table 1

Results of 3 Months Inground Testing

Sample Treatment	Above Ground Rating	Below Ground Rating	<u>Fungi Presence</u>	
			<u>Above Ground</u>	<u>Below Ground</u>
None	3	1	Yes - high	Yes - low
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.1% Cu	3	3	Yes - vy. low	Yes - vy. low
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.3% Cu	3	3	No	No
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.5% Cu	3	3	Yes - vy. low	No
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.1% Zn	3	1	Yes - low	Yes - medium
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.3% Zn	3	1	Yes - low	Yes - low
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.6% Zn	3	1	Yes - low	Yes - medium
Propiconazole - 0.2%	3	1	Yes - low	Yes - medium
DDAC - 0.2%	3	2	Yes - high	Yes - med. high
DDAC - 0.5%	2	2	No	No
DDAC - 1.0%	3	2.5	No	Yes - vy. low
DDAB - 1.0%	3	3	No	No
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.05% Cu	3	2.2	---	---
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.1% Cu	3	3	---	---
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.2% Cu	3	2.5	---	---

Rating of 3 indicates sound. Rating of 0 indicates complete deterioration.



Table 2Results of 6 Months Inground Testing

<u>Sample Treatment</u>	<u>Above Ground Rating*</u>	<u>Below Ground Rating*</u>	<u>Fungi Presence</u>	
			<u>Above Ground</u>	<u>Below Ground</u>
None	2	0	Yes - low	No
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.1% Cu	3	1.5	Yes - vy. low	Yes - high
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.3% Cu	3	3	No	No
CuSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.5% Cu	3	3	No	Yes - vy. low
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.1% Zn	3	1	Yes - vy. low	Yes - med. high
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.3% Zn	3	1.3	Yes - med. low	Yes - med. low
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> - 0.6% Zn	3	0.5	Yes - high	Yes - med. high
DDAC - 0.2%	2.8	0.5	---	---
DDAC - 0.5%	3	1	---	---
DDAC - 1.0%	3	2	---	---
Propiconazole - 0.2%	3	0.5	Yes - vy. low	Yes - low
DDAB - 1.0%	2	2.8	Yes - vy. low	Yes - vy. low
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.05% Cu	3	0	---	---
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.1% Cu	3	1	---	---
DDAC - 1.0% + 0.2% Cu	3	1	---	---

\*Average of two tests. Rating of 3 indicates sound. Rating of 0 indicates complete deterioration.

Table 3  
Results of 9 Months Inground Testing

<u>Sample Treatment</u>	<u>Above Ground Rating*</u>	<u>Below Ground Rating*</u>
None	1.5	0
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.1% Cu	3	1.5
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.3% Cu	3	2.3
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.5% Cu	3	2.5
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.1% Zn	2.3	0
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.3% Zn	3	0.8
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.6% Zn	2	0
Propiconazole – 0.2%	2.8	0.5
DDAB – 1.0%	3	1.3
DDAC -- 0.2%	2.8	0
DDAC – 0.5%	2.5	0
DDAC – 1.0%	3.0	1.5
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.05% Cu	2.3	0
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.1% Cu	3	0
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.2% Cu	3	0

- 5 \*Average of two tests. Rating of 3 indicates sound. Rating of 0 indicates complete deterioration.

Based on the test conditions employed, effective below ground protection was given by copper at concentrations of 0.3% or greater; DDAB at 1% (lower  
 10 concentrations not tested); and DDAC at 0.5% or greater. Addition of copper to 1.0% DDAC did not increase below ground protection at nine months. Zinc compounds or propiconazole at 0.2% did not give effective decay protection at any concentration tested.

Table 4  
Results of 12 Months Inground Testing

<u>Sample Treatment</u>	<u>Above Ground Rating*</u>	<u>Below Ground Rating*</u>
None	2.3	0
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.1% Cu	2.3	0.5
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.3% Cu	2	1
CuSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.5% Cu	3	2.3
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.1% Zn	2	0
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.3% Zn	---	---
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> – 0.6% Zn	1.5	0
Propiconazole – 0.2%	2.3	0
DDAB – 1.0%	---	---
DDAC -- 0.2%	---	---
DDAC – 0.5%	---	---
DDAC – 1.0%	---	---
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.05% Cu	2.8	0
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.1% Cu	3	0
DDAC – 1.0% + 0.2% Cu	3	0

- 5 \*Average of two tests. Rating of 3 indicates sound. Rating of 0 indicates complete deterioration.

Only copper was effective in reducing cellulose fungal decay after the one year underground tests. It should be noted that burial in surface soil in a semitropical environment is a very severe accelerated aging test. The only cement board product likely to experience such an environment would be pipe. However, the test should be indicative of long term durability above ground. With the exception of samples treated with only copper, the DDAC and DDAB treated material performed as well as any of the other treatments. This treated fiber offers significant advantages in refining energy and fiber length retention as compared with copper, as will be seen in the following examples.

Example 2

## Claflin Refining Tests of Biocidally Treated Pulps

Refining tests were run in duplicate on pulp samples prepared as in the previous example to determine energy input to constant freeness and evaluate the resulting fiber for damage. Refining times were adjusted to attempt to get a freeness of about 450 mL C.S.F. In addition to the samples evaluated earlier, samples of DDAC with 0.05%, 0.10%, and 0.20% copper were tested. Results are given in Table 5.

Table 5Results of Claflin Refining Tests

<u>Sample Treatment</u>	<u>Refining Energy, MJ/t</u>	<u>CS Free-ness, mL</u>	<u>Length Weighted Fiber Length, mm</u>	<u>Length Weighted Fines &lt;0.2 mm, %</u>
Untreated <sup>1</sup>	920	450	2.31	4.8
Zinc sulfate-0.1% Zn	960	450	2.31	5.0
Zinc sulfate-0.3% Zn	970	500	2.21	5.2
Zinc sulfate-0.6% Zn <sup>2</sup>	1015	450	2.20	5.7
Propiconazole-0.2%	980	460	2.18	5.6
Copper sulfate-0.1% Cu	1060	480	2.14	6.0
Copper sulfate-0.3% Cu	1410	470	1.61	8.0
Copper Sulfate-0.5% Cu	2100	480	1.59	8.8
Untreated <sup>3</sup>	1251	460	2.35	5.2
1% DDAC + 0.05% Cu	1340	474	2.23	5.8
1% DDAC + 0.10% Cu	1480	450	2.12	5.8
1% DDAC + 0.20% Cu	1960	465	1.98	6.7

<sup>1</sup> Average of four samples at different production times.

<sup>2</sup> Average of three samples.

15 <sup>3</sup> Different control and pulp sample for DDAC+Cu samples

While the two higher levels of copper gave good biological protection, it is immediately evident that the energy needed to refine them was significantly increased over the untreated material. Fiber damage was significant for the two higher levels of copper usage. The zinc and propiconazole samples refined well but their biological

20

protection was poor. The use of up to 0.10% copper alone or with DDAC did not result in any major increase in required refining energy and did not cause unacceptable loss of fiber length.

### Example 3

#### 5                    Results of Bird Escher Wyss Refining Tests

Samples of the unbleached Canadian kraft pulp used in the earlier tests were made using 0.2%, 0.5%, and 1.0% DDAC based on dry pulp weight. A comparison sample was also made using a copper-chromium treatment with 0.2% Cu and 0.35% Cr, based on dry pulp weight. This latter treatment is one used commercially for wood  
10 exposed to conditions causing decay. The samples were refined for this trial in a Model R 1 L Bird Escher Wyss pilot plant scale refiner (available from Bird Escher Wyss, Mansfield, Massachusetts). Again the attempt was made to refine to a constant freeness value. The copper-chromium treated sample was inadvertently refined somewhat more heavily than desired. Energy consumption results are given in Table 6.

15

Table 6

Results of Escher-Wyss Refining Tests

Sample Treatment	Refining Energy, MJ/t	CS Freeness, mL	Length Weighted Fiber Length, mm	Length Weighted Fines <0.2 mm, %
Untreated <sup>1</sup>	2640	475	2.42	4.5
Copper chromate - 0.2% Cu and 0.35% Cr	5440	320	2.06	6.0
DDAC - 0.2%	2680	445	2.30	6.2
DDAC - 0.5%	2720	448	2.30	4.9
DDAC - 1.0%	2740	500	2.31	5.2

20                    As in the previous example, there was no serious increase in refining energy of loss of fiber length during refining in the DDAC treated samples. The copper-chromium treated sample required about double the refining energy of the other treated material. While some part of this is due to the lower freeness of this sample, this does not begin to account for the great increase noted. It was also observed that there was  
25 considerable leaching of the chromium from this sample.

Further tests have shown that the refined DDAC or DDAC plus copper treated fiber has no inhibiting effect on the cure of concrete products using the fiber as

reinforcement. The treated fibers handled normally in every respect and were fully equivalent in manufacturing performance and product physical properties to untreated material. However, as noted in Tables 1-3, durability under environmental conditions that might induce fiber decay was greatly improved for the DDAC treated fibers.

5           It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that many variations in the preparation and use of the products of the invention could be made that have not been described herein. It is the intention of the inventors that these variations should be included within the scope of the invention if encompassed within the following claims.

**Claims:**

1. A fibrous cellulose product resistant to biological degradation which comprises cellulose fibers treated with a biocidally effective amount of a compound selected from the group consisting of didecyldimethylammonium chloride, didecyldimethylammonium bromide, water soluble copper salts, and mixtures thereof.  
5
2. The cellulose product of claim 1 in which the fiber is treated with 0.01-0.25% of a water soluble copper salt.
- 10 3. The cellulose product of claims 1 or 2 in which the fiber is treated with 0.1-2.0% didecyldimethylammonium chloride.
4. The cellulose product of claims 1 or 2 in which the fiber is treated with 0.1-2.0% didecyldimethyl ammonium bromide.  
15
5. The cellulose product of claim 1 in which the cellulose fiber is an unbleached kraft fiber.
6. A method for producing a cellulose fiber resistant to biological degradation which comprises:  
20 providing a cellulose fiber that has been at least partially purified by a chemical pulping process;  
treating the fiber with biocidally effective amount of a biocidal composition selected from the group consisting of didecyldimethylammonium chloride, didecyldimethylammonium bromide, water soluble copper salts, and mixtures thereof; and  
25 drying the fiber.
7. The method of claim 6 in which the fiber is treated with 0.01-0.25% of a water soluble copper salt.  
30
8. The method of claims 6 or 7 in which the fiber is treated with 0.1-2.0% didecyldimethylammonium chloride.
9. The method of claims 6 or 7 in which the fiber is treated with 0.1-2.0% didecyldimethylammonium bromide.  
35

10. The method of claim 6 in which the cellulose fiber is an unbleached kraft fiber.

11. The method of claim 6 in which the treated fiber is sheeted prior to  
5 drying.



(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
1 November 2001 (01.11.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/81666 A3**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **D21C 9/00.**  
D21H 21/36

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/US01/13071**

(22) International Filing Date: **23 April 2001 (23.04.2001)**

(25) Filing Language: **English**

(26) Publication Language: **English**

(30) Priority Data:  
60/199,344 24 April 2000 (24.04.2000) US  
09/838,947 19 April 2001 (19.04.2001) US

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **WEYER-  
HAEUSER COMPANY** [US/US]; P.O. Box 9777, Federal  
Way, WA 98063-9777 (US).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **JEWELL, Richard,**  
A. [US/US]; 5215 146th Avenue S.E., Bellevue, WA 98006  
(US). **REIMER, Julie, A.** [US/US]; 7026 Jones Avenue  
N.W., Seattle, WA 98117 (US).

(74) Agents: **LEUZZI, Paul, W.** et al.; Weyerhaeuser Com-  
pany, Patent Department, P.O. Box 9777, Federal Way, WA  
98063-9777 (US).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,  
DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,  
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,  
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,  
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,  
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,  
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian  
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European  
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,  
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,  
CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

--- with international search report

(88) Date of publication of the international search report:  
14 March 2002

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-  
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-  
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 01/81666 A3

(54) Title: **CELLULOSE FIBER HAVING IMPROVED BIOSTABILITY**

(57) Abstract: A cellulose fiber having extended biostability and the method of its manufacture are described. While prior treat-  
ments of cellulose with biotoxic metal compounds have given improved resistance to decay, these treatments have not been entirely  
satisfactory where the fiber had to be refined before use. Refining energy was very high and fiber length loss was substantial. Treat-  
ment of cellulose fiber with didecyldimethylammonium chloride (DDAC) or bromide (DDAB), these materials in combination with  
low levels of copper, or low levels of copper alone, has given a product with very good biostability without a major increase in  
refining energy or loss of fiber length. The treated fiber is particularly advantageous as a reinforcing component for cement board  
products.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l. Application No.

PCF/US 01/13071

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 D21C9/00 D21H21/36

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 D21C D21H

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAPERCHEM

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
E	EP 1 106 236 A (PROFLUTE AB) 13 June 2001 (2001-06-13) page 5, line 40 - line 48; claim 4 ---	1,2,6,7
A	US 4 938 958 A (NIIRA DECEASED REIJI ET AL) 3 July 1990 (1990-07-03) claims 5-7 ---	
A	GB 1 604 910 A (HOLBEK K) 16 December 1981 (1981-12-16) & CA 1 134 564 A 2 November 1982 (1982-11-02) cited in the application -----	

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 November 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

04/12/2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040. Tx. 31 651 epo nl.  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Songy, O

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCF/US 01/13071

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 1106236	A	13-06-2001	SE 515612 C2 EP 1106236 A1 SE 9904503 A	10-09-2001 13-06-2001 10-06-2001
US 4938958	A	03-07-1990	AT 86068 T AU 602545 B2 AU 8205587 A CA 1304345 A1 DE 3784455 D1 DE 3784455 T2 EP 0270129 A2 ES 2053512 T3 JP 2054997 C JP 4028646 B JP 63265809 A KR 9100401 B1	15-03-1993 18-10-1990 09-06-1988 30-06-1992 08-04-1993 09-06-1993 08-06-1988 01-08-1994 23-05-1996 14-05-1992 02-11-1988 25-01-1991
GB 1604910	A	16-12-1981	DK 245177 A BE 867789 A1 CA 1134564 A1 CH 643886 A5 DE 2824343 A1 FI 781770 A FR 2393086 A1 NL 7806027 A NO 781921 A SE 7806522 A	22-12-1978 04-12-1978 02-11-1982 29-06-1984 07-12-1978 03-12-1978 29-12-1978 05-12-1978 05-12-1978 03-12-1978